

**HIGH COURT OF ALLAHABAD  
Bench - Hon'ble Ashwani Kumar Mishra,J.**

**Hon'ble Mohd. Azhar Husain Idrisi,J.**

**Date of Decision: April 30, 2024**

CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CRIMINAL) No. - 7 of 2024

**Applicant :- In Re**

**VS**

**Opposite Party :- Ranvijay Singh And Others Counsel for**

**Applicant :- \***

Heard Sri Sudheer Mehrotra, learned counsel for the court.

Reference has been received from the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Prayagraj stating that while proceedings of Original Suit No.25 of 2022 Mulayam Singh vs Tersu Lal was going on, a group of lawyers entered the Court and started pressurizing the Presiding Officer to take up Original Suit No.152 of 2023 Ran Vijay Singh & another vs. Khursheed Ahmad & others. The plaintiff himself is a lawyer. The Presiding Officer was pressurized to take up the case of Original Suit No.152 of 2023 immediately and the litigants of the aforesaid matter were physically assaulted inside the Court. The Presiding Officer was also ill-treated. Entire facts in that regard have been recorded in the proceedings of Original Suit No.25 of 2022. The District Judge, Prayagraj has forwarded the reference to this Court. The Presiding Officer in her order has mentioned that the President of the Bar tried to resolve the issue but Ran Vijay Singh and Mohd. Asif, Advocate did not even listen to the President. The President of the Bar Association thereafter left the Court in order to save himself. The crowd which had come in support of unscrupulous lawyers came on the dias and physically assaulted Monis Parvez Ansari and his wife. When these litigants tried to enter the chamber in order to save themselves the crowd brought by the aforesaid two advocates entered the chamber of the Presiding Officer and physically assaulted the litigants. The Presiding Officer rushed to the chamber of Chief Judicial Magistrate to save herself. The concerned A.C.P./S.H.O. was immediately informed on phone. However, the Presiding Officer has stated that the incident occurred in the Court and chamber is scary and there is a threat to

her life and liberty also. It was much later that the police personnels arrived and the Presiding Officer could enter her chamber. The Presiding Officer has recorded the manner in which the incident has occurred. It has left a serious question mark on the manner in which the court proceedings are being conducted. The reference made by the Presiding Officer shows a complete breakdown of the court proceedings at the instance of lawyers. Instances of this kind pose a serious challenge to the very functioning of the judicial system and the incident has to be viewed seriously.

Having found prima facie allegation to exist of criminal contempt against Advocates Ran Vijay Singh and Mohd. Asif, we issue notices to them as to why they be not punished for committing criminal contempt. We also call upon the District Judge, Prayagraj to submit a report after perusing the CCTV footage etc. relating to involvement of other counsels or individuals who too had committed contempt.

In the facts of the case, we also invoke our jurisdiction under Chapter XXIV Rule 11(2) of Allahabad High Court Rules, 1952 and prohibit Ran Vijay Singh and Mohd. Asif from entering the premises of District Judgeship at Allahabad. These advocates are restrained from practice in the State of U.P. We also call upon the Commissioner of Police to submit a report with regard to security arrangements existing in the court premises. The Commissioner will also ensure that adequate police force is deployed on the instructions of the District Judge, Prayagraj, so that the incident of kind does not recur.

Copy of this order shall be sent forthwith to the District Judge, Prayagraj, Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj as well as lawyers against whom proceedings of criminal contempt are initiated under our orders.

© All Rights Reserved @ LAWYER E NEWS

\*Disclaimer: Always compare with the original copy of judgment from the official website.